PII: S0040-4039(96)02475-6

The First Enantioselective Synthesis of Optically Pure (R)- and (S)-5,5"-Dihydroxy-4',4"',7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone and the Reconfirmation of Their Absolute Configuration

Guo-Qiang Lin* and Min Zhong

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai, 200032, China

Abstract The first enantioselective synthesis of the optically pure (R)- and (S) - 5,5"-dihydroxy-4',4"",7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone is described. The key steps involve the intramolecular oxidative coupling of the cyanocuprate intermediate and Friedel-Crafts rearrangement. Their absolute configuration was reconfirmed by CD spectra. © 1997, Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In 1968, Ilyas and his coworkers¹ isolated (-)-5,5"-dihydroxy-4',4"",7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone (1) from *Araucaria cunninghamii* and *A. cooki* as the first optically pure biflavone. Since then, 13 other optically active biflavones of three groups, *i.e.*, cupressflavones, amentoflavones, and agathisflavones, have been isolated from a variety of plants. There is now ample evidence of the pharmacological effects of biflavones including inhibition of cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase^{2a} and inhibition of lens aldose reducyase^{2b}, *etc*. In most cases, the biflavones proved to be more active than the monomeric species.

The chirality of those biflavones is due to the atropisomerism of the biflavone moiety. Although the racemic 5,5"-dihydroxy-4',4"',7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone or its derivatives have been synthesized by various methods 3 , and the absolute configuration of the naturally occurring 5,5"-dihydroxy-4',4"',7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone was deduced as aR by Harada $et\ al.^4$ and later on confirmed by us 3g , to our knowledge, there was no report of enantioselective synthesis of the optically active (R)- or (S)-1. As a

continuation of our efforts in this area, we report here the first enantioselective synthesis of the optically pure (R)- and (S)-1, in which the asymmetric intramolecular oxidative coupling of the cyanocuprate intermediate of 6 developed by Lipshutz's group ⁵ and the Friedel-Crafts rearrangement of 13 were employed as the key steps. We have also reconfirmed their absolute configuration by CD spectra.

Reagents and conditions: a. TBDMSCI, imidazole, DMF, r.t, 24 h, 84%; b. 2-iodo-3,5-dimethoxyphenol, DEAD, n-Bu₃P, THF, r.t, 24 h, 68%; c. n-Bu₄NF, THF, 2 h, 90%; d. 2-iodo-3,5-dimethoxyphenol, DEAD, n-Bu₃P, THF, r.t, 42 h, 42%; e. n-BuLi, THF, -78°C, 1 h; CuCN-TMEDA(1:3), -78°C \rightarrow -40°C, 1 h; dry O₂, -78°C, 4 h, 75%; f. 10% Pd/C, H₂, EtOAc, 12 h, 100%; g. TsCl, py., 0 °C, 8 h, 92%; h. Nal, acetone, reflux, 3 h, 85%; i. activated Zn powder, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, 80%; j. (S)- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)-phenylacetyl chloride, 4-DMAP, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, r.t, 24 h, 90%.

As shown in scheme 1, 1,4-di-O-benzyl-D-threitol (2) ⁶ was converted to its monosilyl ether (3). Mitsunobu reaction ⁷ of 3 with 2-iodo-3,5-dimethoxyphenol ⁸, with the configuration transformation from S to R at the reaction center, gave 4 in 68% yield. Cleavage of the silyl ether of 4 with n-Bu₄NF in THF gave 5 in 90% yield, which was followed by treatment with 2-iodo-3,5-dimethoxyphenol again to give the (2R,3R)-tetraether (6)⁹ in 42% yield. The low yield of the second Mitsunobu reaction was possibly caused by the steric hindrance at the coupling center. The attempt of the condensation of two molecules of 2-iodo-3,5-dimethoxyphenol to 2 in one step failed. Treatment of 6 with n-BuLi followed by addition of CuCN-TMEDA (1:3) led to formation in situ of a higher order cyanocuprate intermediate ⁵, which transformed to 7¹⁰ upon exposure to dry oxygen at -78°C in 75% yield. In order to obtain the biphenol (11) from 7, a four-step process was designed to cleave the chiral auxiliary. Catalytic hydrogenation of 7 gave the threitol (8) in quantitative

yield. The threitol (8) was converted to the ditosylate (9) in 92% yield, which upon treatment with NaI gave the diiodide (10) in 85% yield. Reduction of 10 by activated zinc powder in ethanol provided the biphenol (11) in 80%. The diastereomeric excess of 11 was determined to be 81% by the examination of the ¹H NMR spectra of its corresponding (S)-Mosher's ester (12). The optically pure 11 ¹¹ was obtained by recrystallization from ethyl acetate and hexane.

Subsequently, our efforts were made to complete the synthesis of the optically pure 1 (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2

Reagents and conditions: a. (CH₃CO)₂O, py., 2 h, 93%; b. TiCl₄, benzene, reflux, 1 h, 94%; c. p-anisaldehyde, KOH, cat. TEBACl, EtOH-H₂O(3:2), r.t, 48 h, 80%; d. I₂, DMSO, 150°C, 30 min., 60%; e. BCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 1 h, 84%.

The diacetate (13), generated from 11 by treatment with acetic anhydride in pyridine, underwent Friedel-Crafts rearrangement promoted by TiCl₄ as Lewis acid to afford 14^{12} in 94% yield. Treatment of 14 with p-anisaldehyde in presence of KOH and catalytic TEBACl as a phase-transfer reagent gave bichalcone (15) in 80% yield. Ring closure of 15 on heating with I_2 -DMSO 38 afforded 16 in 60% yield. Selective demethylation of 16 with BCl₃ 3d in CH₂Cl₂ at 0° C gave (+)- 1^{13} in 84% yield. The absolute configuration of the synthetic (+)- $1[[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +76.6 (c 0.11, EtOH)] was assigned as aR and was determined to be optically pure by comparison of the specific rotation value of (R)-1 $[[\alpha]^{18}_D$ +77 (c 0.2, EtOH) for (R)-1] with our previous report 38 . The CD curves of the synthetic (+)-1 were in accordance with that of the naturally occurring 1, which was deduced as R by Harada et al. 4 . This result was also in agreement with Lipshutz's conclusion 5 that the (2R, 3R)-tetraether generally induced the formation of (R)-biaryl and the (2S, 3S)-tetraether generally induced the formation of (R)-biaryl in the cyclization (R)-1, 12, 13, 14,

15, 16 was all assigned as aR. In the same manner as that of preparation of (R)-1, the optically pure (S)-1 $[[\alpha]^{22}_D$ -77.3 (c 0.13, EtOH)]¹³ was synthesized using 1,4-di-O-benzyl-L-threitol ⁶ as the chiral auxiliary and the CD curves of the synthetic (S)-1 was contrary to that of the naturally occurring 1.

In summary, we have accomplished the first enantioselective synthesis of the optically pure (R)- and (S)-5,5"-dihydroxy-4',4"",7,7"-tetramethoxy -8,8"-biflavone (1) and reconfirmed the absolute configuration of the naturally occurring 1 as aR by CD spectra.

References and Notes:

- 1. Ilyas, M.; Usmani, J. N.; Bhatnagar, S. P.; Ilyas, M.; Rahman, W.; Pelter, A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1968, 5515.
- a. Beretz, A.; Joly, M.; Stoclet, J. C.; Anton, R. Planta Med., 1979, 36, 193. b. Felicio, J. D. A.; Goncalez, E.; Braggio, M. M.; Costantino, L.; Albasini, A.; Lins, A. P. Planta Med., 1995, 61, 217.
- a. Nakazawa, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1962, 10, 1032. b. Nurti, V. V. S.; Raman, P. V.; Soshardi, T. R. Tetrahedron, 1967, 23, 397. c. Ahmad, S.; Razaq, S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1971, 4633. d. Ahmad, S.; Razaq, S. Tetrahedron, 1976, 32, 503. e. Moriyama, S.; Okigawa, M.; Kawano, N. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I, 1974, 2132. f. Moriyama, S.; Okigawa, M.; Kawano, N. Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 2105. g. Zhang, F.-J.; Lin, G.-Q.; Huang, Q.-C. J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 6427 and references cited therein; see also corrigendum: Zhang, F.-J.; Lin, G.-Q.; Huang, Q.-C. J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 5700.
- 4. Harada, N.; Ono, H.; Uda, H.; Parveen, M.; Khan, N. U.; Achari, B.; Dutta, P. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 7687.
- 5. Lipshutz, B. H.; Kayser, F.; Liu, Z.-P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1842 and references cited therein.
- Mash, E. A.; Nelson, K. A.; Densen, E. V.; Hemperly, S. B., Org. Synth., coll. Vol. VIII; John Wiley and Sons. Inc.: New York, 1993: p155.
- 7. Hughes, D. L.; Org. React.; John Wiley and Sons. Inc.: New York, 1992: p335.
- 8. Bachki, A.; Foubelo, F.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 50, 5139.
- 9. 6. $[\alpha_i]^{22}_D$ -5.04 (c 2.10, CHCl₃). FT-IR (film): 2938, 2841, 1583, 1454, 1413, 1365, 1342, 1223, 1201, 1162, 1112, 1018, 812, 738, 698 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) & 7.27-7.32 (m, 10H), 6.39 (s, 2H), 6.15 (s, 2H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 4.53 (s, 4H), 4.09 (dd, 2H, J= 2.75, 10.20Hz), 3.90 (dd, 2H, J= 4.00, 9.84Hz), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.66 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5HMz, CDCl₃) & 162.162, 159.230, 138.116, 128.368, 127.855, 127.667, 94.002, 92.900, 78.936, 73.749, 69.100, 68.899, 56.527, 55.560 ppm. MS m/z (EI, 70ev): 826, 699, 572, 514, 466, 354, 191, 155, 127, 105, 91(100). Calcd. for $C_{34}H_{36}O_8I_2$: C, 49.41; H, 4.39. Found: C, 49.33; H, 4.49.
- 10. (aR)-7. $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +34.7 (c 0.59, CHCl₃). FT-IR (film): 2937, 2840, 1603, 1547, 1496, 1463, 1454, 1436, 1414, 1369, 1353, 1319, 1280, 1215, 1199, 1151, 1094, 1064, 1024, 1007, 935, 909, 874, 826, 799, 739, 699, 635, 603, 522, 530 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) &parrow 7.27-7.33 (m, 10H), 6.40 (d, 2H, J=2.39Hz), 6.34 (d, 2H, J=1.97Hz), 4.59, 4.58 (AB, 4H, J_{AB} =12.04Hz), 4.10 (dd, 2H, J=4.98, 6.67HZ), 3.73 (s, 12H), 3.72 (d, 2H, J=8.30Hz), 3.61 (tt, 2H, J=2.59, 8.22Hz) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5HMz, CDCl₃) &parrow 8.160.056, 158.919, 138.315, 128.420, 127.708, 110.385, 99.121, 95.557, 85.136, 73.704, 70.682, 55.872, 55.365 ppm. MS m/z (EI, 70ev): 574, 573, 572 (19.5), 91(100). HRMS calcd. for $C_{34}H_{36}O_{8}(M^4)$: 572.2411, found 572.2460.
- 11. (aR)-11. m.p 165-166°C (EtOAc/hexane). $[\alpha_i]^{21}_D$ +76.5 (c 0.53, CHCl₃). FT-IR (KBr): 3410, 1612, 1584, 1523 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.29 (d, 2H, J=2.35Hz), 6.20 (d, 2H, J=2.31Hz), 3.83 (s, 6H), 3.74 (s, 6H) ppm. MS m/z (EI, 70ev): 308, 307, 306 (100), 289, 275, 259, 245, 231, 215, 193, 77. Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{18}O_6$: C, 62.74; H, 5.92. Found: C, 62.35; H, 5.96. for (aS)-11. m.p 164-166°C (EtOAc/hexane). $[\alpha_i]^{20}_D$ -76.3 (c 0.61, CHCl₃).
- 12. (aR)-12. m.p 253-254°C (CHCl₃/EtOH). [α]²⁰_D -27.6 (c 0.56, CHCl₃). CD (EtOH) λ_{ext} 298 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ -3.29), 276 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +4.60), 241 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -1.34), 235 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -0.26), 229 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -2.63), 212 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +8.67). FT-IR (KBr): 2701, 1617, 1588, 1504 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.02 (s, 2H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 3.95 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 2.63 (s, 6H) ppm. MS m/z (EI, 70ev): 392, 391, 390 (100), 375, 359, 333. Calcd. for C₂₀H₂₂O₈: C, 61.53; H, 5.68. Found: C, 61.07; H, 5.53. for (α S)-12. m.p 252-254°C (CHCl₃/EtOH). [α]¹⁹_D +27.2 (c 0.40, CHCl₃). CD (EtOH) λ_{ext} 297 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ +3.38), 275 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -2.03), 240 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +3.78), 235 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +2.43), 230 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +3.78), 211 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -6.21).
- 13. (aR)-1. m.p 152-153°C (MeOH). $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +76.6 (c 0.11, EtOH). CD (EtOH) λ_{ext} 355 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ +17.0), 318 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -30.8), 258 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +14.0). FT-IR (KBr): 2934, 2833, 1615, 1609, 1588, 1511, 1486, 1427, 1373, 1337, 1264, 1241, 1206, 1179, 1123, 1029, 834, 572 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.23 (s, 2H), 7.43 (dd, 4H, J=2.02, 7.01Hz), 6.87 (dd, 4H, J=1.95, 7.06Hz), 6.60 (s, 2H), 6.59 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.80 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5HMz, CDCl₃) δ 183.030, 164.060, 163.504, 162.802, 154.817, 127.727, 123.455, 114.693, 105.427, 103.679, 99.692, 95.446, 56.347. 55.598 ppm. MS m/z (EI, 70ev): 597 (1.75), 596 (9.64), 595 (39.25), 594 (M⁺,100), 433 (1.74), 297 (8.91), 135 (16.38), 77 (3.15). HRMS calcd. for $C_{34}H_{26}O_{10}(M^+)$: 594.1526, found 594.1553. for (aS)-1. m.p 153-154°C (MeOH). $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ -77.3 (c 0.13, EtOH). CD (EtOH) λ_{ext} 359 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ -23.2), 323 ($\Delta\epsilon$ +54.8), 264 ($\Delta\epsilon$ -15.2).